## 行政院國家科學委員會專題研究計畫 成果報告

# 一個計算隨機幾何圖上子圖出現機率的新方法及其極限(I) 研究成果報告(精簡版)



#### 計畫主持人: 俞征武

計書參與人員:碩士班研究生-兼任助理:魏山雄、鄭凱仁、方均正

報 告 附 件 : 出席國際會議研究心得報告及發表論文

處理方式:本計畫可公開查詢

### 中 華 民 國 96 年 11 月 01 日

行政院國家科學委員會補助專題研究計畫 √ 成 果 報 告

一個計算隨機幾何圖上子圖出現機率的新方法及其極限(I)

計畫類別:√ 個別型計畫 □ 整合型計畫 計畫編號:**NSC 95**-**2221**-**E**-**216**-**021** 執行期間:2006 年 8 月 1 日至 96 年 7 月 31 日

計畫主持人:俞征武 共同主持人: 計畫參與人員: 魏山雄, 鄭凱仁, 方均正

成果報告類型(依經費核定清單規定繳交):√精簡報告 □完整報告

本成果報告包括以下應繳交之附件:

□赴國外出差或研習心得報告一份

□赴大陸地區出差或研習心得報告一份

□出席國際學術會議心得報告及發表之論文各一份

□國際合作研究計畫國外研究報告書一份

處理方式:除產學合作研究計畫、提升產業技術及人才培育研究計畫、 列管計畫及下列情形者外,得立即公開查詢 □涉及專利或其他智慧財產權,□一年□二年後可公開查詢

執行單位:中華大學資訊工程系

中 華 民 國 2007 年 10 月 31 日

隨機幾何圖上有一個基本和重要的研究問題是:分析隨機幾何圖上子圖出現的次數。例 如,無線電網路 IEEE 802.11 CSMA/CA 通訊協定有兩個有名的問題: 隱藏節點問題和暴 露節點問題。這兩種問題都和精確估算隨機幾何圖上子圖出現的次數有關。本計劃首 次有 系統地討論如何估算隨機幾何圖上某一特殊子圖出現次數的方法。首次嘗試精 確地估算隨機幾何圖上子圖出現合圖出現方面出現的 成果,運用於無線網路的分散式圖論演算法的設計上。同時討論邊界效應問題。

#### Abstract

This project undergoes quantitative analyses on fundamental properties of ad hoc networks including estimating the number of hidden-terminal pairs, the number of exposed-terminal sets, the number of neighboring nodes supporting triangle routes, and the extents of coverage and connectivity. To obtain these results, we propose a paradigm to systematically derive exact formulas for a great deal of subgraph probabilities of random geometric graphs. In contrast to previous work, which established asymptotic bounds or approximation, we obtain closed-form formulas that are fairly accurate and of practical value.

Keywords: Ad hoc networks, sensor networks, analytical method, random geometric graphs, connectivity, coverage, performance evaluation, hidden terminal, exposed terminal, quantitative analysis

### 1. Introduction

A *geometric graph*  $G=(V, r)$  consists of nodes placed in 2-dimension space  $R^2$  and edge set *E*={(*i*, *j*)  $|d(i, j) \leq r$ , where *i*, *j*∈*V* and  $d(i, j)$  denotes the Euclidian distance between node *i* and node *j*}. Let  $X_n = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$  be a set of independently and uniformly distributed random points. We use Ψ(<sup>Χ</sup>*n*, *r*, *A*) to denote the *random geometric graph* (RGG) [29] of *n* nodes on <sup>Χ</sup>*<sup>n</sup>* with radius *r* and placed in an area *A*. RGGs consider geometric graphs on random point configurations. Applications of RGGs include communications networks, classification, spatial statistics, epidemiology, astrophysics, and neural networks [29].

A RGG <sup>Ψ</sup>(<sup>Χ</sup>*n*, *r*, *A*) is suitable to model an ad hoc network *N*=(*n*, *r*, *A*) consisting of *n* mobile devices with transmission radius *r* unit length that are independently and uniformly distributed at random in an area A. When each vertex in  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$  represents a mobile device, each edge connecting two vertices represents a possible communication link as they are within the transmission range of each other. A random geometric graph and its representing network are shown in Figure 1. In the example, area *A* is a rectangle that is used to model the deployed area such as a meeting room. Area *A*, however, can be a circle, or any other shape, and even infinite space.



Figure 1. (a) An ad hoc network *N*=(6, *r*, *A*), where *A* is a rectangle. (b) Its associated random geometric graph  $\mathcal{Y}(X_6, r, A)$ .

Many fundamental properties of ad hoc networks are related to subgraphs in RGGs. For example, the IEEE 802.11 CSMA/CA protocol suffers from the hidden and the exposed terminal problem [41, 45]. The hidden terminal problem is caused by concurrent transmissions of two nodes that cannot sense each other but transmit to the same destination. We call such two terminals a *hidden-terminal pair*. The existence of hidden-terminal pairs in an environment seriously results in garbled messages and increases communication delay, thus degrading system performance [24, 25, 45].

Quantitative analyses on specific subgraphs of a given RGG are of importance for understanding and evaluating the fundamental properties of MANETs. There is extensive literature on the subgraph probability of RGGs [29]. Penrose had shown that, for arbitrary feasible connected Γ with *k* vertices, the number of induced subgraphs isomorphic to Γ satisfies a Poisson limit theorem and a normal limit theorem [29]. To the best of our knowledge, previous related results are all asymptotic or approximate.

In the project, we make the first attempt to propose a paradigm to systematically derive the exact formulas for a great deal of subgraph probabilities in RGGs. In contrast to previous asymptotic bounds or approximation, the closed-form formulas we derived are fairly accurate and of practical value. With the paradigm, we undergoes quantitative analyses on fundamental properties of ad hoc networks including the number of hidden-terminal pairs, the number of exposed-terminal sets, the number of neighboring nodes supporting triangle routes, and the extents of coverage and connectivity.

Computing the probability of occurrence of RGG subgraphs is complicated by the assumption of finite plane. For example, one device in Figure 1 is deployed nearby the boundary of rectangle *A* so that its radio communication range (often modeled by a circle) is not properly contained in *A*. This is due to *border effects*, which complicate the derivation of closed formulas; therefore, previous discussions usually circumvent the border effects by using *torus convection* [1, 20]. Torus convention models the network topology in a way that nodes nearby the border are considered as being close to nodes at the opposite border and they are allowed to establish links. Most of the time, we adopt *torus convention* to deal with border effects in the report. However, we also obtain an exact formula for the single edge probability of RGGs when confronting the border effects.

The rest of this report is organized as follows. In Section 2, some definitions and notations are introduced. In Section 3, we briefly survey related results on RGGs. A method for computing the subgraph probability of RGGs with torus convention is presented in Section 4. Section 5 presents those derivations when confronting border effects. Finally, Section 6 concludes the report.

#### 2. Definitions and notations

A *graph G*=(*V, E*) consists of a finite nonempty vertex set *V* and edge set *E* of unordered pairs of distinct vertices of *V*. A graph  $G=(V, E)$  is labeled when the |*V*| vertices are distinguished from one another by names such as  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$ , ...,  $v_{|V|}$ . Two labeled graphs  $G=(V_G, E_G)$ and  $H=(V_H, E_H)$  are identical, denoted by  $G=H$  if  $V_G=V_H$  and  $E_G=E_H$ . A graph  $H=(V_H, E_H)$  is a *subgraph* of  $G=(V_G, E_G)$  if  $V_H \subseteq V_G$  and  $E_H \subseteq E_G$ . Suppose that V is a nonempty subset of V. The subgraph of  $G=(V, E)$  whose vertex set is V' and whose edge set is the set of those edges of G that have both ends in  $V'$  is called the subgraph of *G induced* by  $V'$ , denoted by  $G_V$ . The *size* of any set *S* is denoted by  $|S|$ . The *degree* of a vertex  $\nu$  in graph *G* is the number of edge incident with *v*. The notation  $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$  denotes the number of ways to select *m* from *n* distinct objects. ⎠ ⎞  $\parallel$ ⎝  $\big($ *m n*

The *subgraph probability* of RGGs is defined as follows. Let  $\Omega = \{G_1, G_2, ..., G_k\}$  represent every possible labeled graphs of  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$ , where  $k=2$  . When  $G_x$  is a labeled subgraph in  $\Omega$ , we use Pr( $G_x$ ) to denote the probability of the occurrence of  $G_x$ . Suppose  $S \subseteq V$  and  $T \subseteq V$ , we define  $Pr(G_s)$ =  $\sum Pr(G_w)$ , when  $1 \le w \le k$ .  $\binom{6}{2}$  $(n)$  $\forall G_w$ ∈Ω and  $G_s$  =  $G_t$  ⊆  $G_w$ *Gw* and  $Pr(G_{w})$ 

### 3. Related work in RGG

To the best of our knowledge, previous results on RGGs are all asymptotic and approximate except [49, 50]. We summary related results as follows.

A book written by Penrose [29] provides and explains the theory of random geometric graphs. Graph problems considered in the book include subgraph and component counts, vertex degrees, cliques and colorings, minimum degree, the largest component, partitioning problems, and connectivity and the number of components.

For *n* points uniformly randomly distributed on a unit cube in *d*≥2 dimensions, Penrose [32] showed that the resulting geometric random graph *G* is *k*-connected and *G* has minimum degree *k* at the same time when *n*→∞. In [9, 10], Díaz *et al.* discussed many layout problems including minimum linear arrangement, cutwidth, sum cut, vertex separation, edge bisection, and vertex bisection in random geometric graphs. In [11], Díaz *et al.* considered the clique or chromatic number of random geometric graphs and their connectivity.

Some results of RGGs can be applied to the connectivity problem of ad hoc networks. In [39], Santi and Blough discussed the connectivity problem of random geometric graphs Ψ(<sup>Χ</sup>*n*, *r*, *A*), where *A* is a *d*-dimensional region with the same length size. In [1], Bettstetter investigated two fundamental characteristics of wireless networks: its minimum node degree and its *k*-connectivity. In [12], Dousse *et al.* obtained analytical expressions of the probability of

connectivity in the one dimension case. In [18], Gupta and Kumar have shown that if  $r = \sqrt{\frac{\log n + c(n)}{m}}$  $\frac{\log n + c(n)}{\pi n}$ , then the resulting network is connected with high probability if and only if *c*(*n*)→∞. In [47], Xue and Kumar have shown that each node should be connected to  $\Theta(\log n)$ nearest neighbors in order that the overall network is connected.

Recently, Yen and Yu have analyzed link probability, expected node degree, and expected coverage of MANETs [49]. In [48], Yang has obtained the limits of the number of subgraphs of a specified type which appear in a random graph.

### 4. Computing subgraph probability

In the section, we develop a paradigm for computing subgraph probability of RGGS. First of all, we are to prove that the occurrences of arbitrary two distinct edges in RGGs are independent in the next subsection. The property of edge independence greatly simplifies our further calculations. For simplicity, we always assume that *A* is sufficiently large to properly contain a circle with radius *r* in a  $\Psi(X_n, r, A)$  throughout the report; that implies  $\pi r^2 \leq |A|$ . In the report, notation  $E_i(E_i)$  denotes the event of the occurrence (absence) of edge  $e_i$ .

Since we adopt torus convention to avoid border effects in the section, single-edge probability in RGG is obtained trivially and listed below.

*Theorem 1*: We have  $Pr(E_j) = \pi r^2/|A|$ , for an arbitrary edge  $e_j = (u, v)$  and  $u \neq v$ , in a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$ .

### 4.1 Edge independence in RGGs

The following theorem shows that the occurrences of arbitrary two distinct edges in RGGs are independent even if they share one end vertex.

*Theorem 2*: For arbitrary two distinct edges  $e_i=(u, v)$  and  $e_i=(w, x)$  in a  $\mathcal{H}(X_n, r, A)$ , we have  $Pr(E_iE_j)=Pr(E_i)Pr(E_j).$ 

Theorem 2 indicates that the occurrences of arbitrary two distinct edges in RGGs are independent. The result is somewhat difficult to be accepted as facts at first glance for some scholars. For example, Santi and Blough [39] claimed that the occurrences of two distinct edges  $e_1=(u, v)$  and  $e_2=(u, w)$  are correlated by observing that

if

*S*1: (*d*(*u*, *v*)<*d*(*u*, *w*))

then

*S*<sub>2</sub>: (the existence of  $e_2(E_2)$  implies the existence of  $e_1(E_1)$ ).

In logical terms, the statement (if  $S_1$  then  $S_2$ ) is true under all its interpretations (that is, the statement is a tautology) [6]; however, that does not necessarily imply the truth of  $S_2$  and the conclusion that any two distinct edges are dependent.

The falsity of their deduction can be proved by contradiction. Given four distinct nodes *u*, *v*, *w*, and *x*, the statement

 $\mathcal{L}$ 

*S*<sub>3</sub>:  $(d(u, v) \le d(w, x))$  then

*S*<sub>4</sub>: (the existence of  $e_1=(w, x)$  implies the existence of  $e_2=(u, v)$ )."

is a tautology. Provided that Santi and Blough's deduction is correct, we conclude that *S*4 is true.

Consequently, the false statement "two independent edges (*i.e.* (*w*, *x*) and (*u*, *v*)) are dependent" were true. A contradiction occurs.

Note that Theorem 2 does not imply that the occurrences of more than two edges in RGGs are also independent. In fact, we will show their dependence later.

By Theorem 1 and 2, we obtain the probability of two-edge subgraphs immediately.

*Corollary 3*: For arbitrary two distinct edges  $e_i=(u, v)$  and  $e_j=(w, x)$  in a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$ , we have  $Pr(E_i E_j) = (\pi r^2/|A|)^2$ .

#### 4.2 Base subgraphs

In this subsection, we consider eight labeled subgraphs with three vertices as *base subgraphs*, the probabilities of which will be used to compute the probability of larger subgraphs later. Based on the number of edges included, subgraphs of three vertices can be classified into four groups: a triangle  $(c_3)$ , an induced path of length two  $(p_2)$ , an edge with an isolated vertex  $(p_1+I_1)$ , and three isolated vertices  $(I_3)$  (See Figure 2).



Figure 2. Eight base subgraphs.

To compute the probability of *c*3, we need the following lemma. If one of two equal-sized circles in the place contains the center of the other, we call them two *properly intersecting circles*.

*Lemma 4* [50]: The expected overlapped area of two properly intersecting circles with the same

radius 
$$
r
$$
 is  $\left(\pi - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\right) r^2$  in a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$ .

The following conditional probability is a consequence of Lemma 4.

*Lemma 5*: For three distinct edges  $e_i=(u, v)$ ,  $e_i=(u, w)$ , and  $e_k=(v, w)$  in a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$ , we have

$$
\Pr(E_i E_j | E_k) = \left(\pi - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\right) r^2 / |A|, \text{ where } u \neq v \neq w.
$$

The probability of the first base subgraph  $c_3$  (triangle) can then be obtained. *Theorem 6*: For three distinct edges  $e_i=(u, v)$ ,  $e_i=(u, w)$ , and  $e_k=(v, w)$  in a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$ , we have

$$
Pr(E_iE_jE_k) = \left(\pi - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\right) \pi^{4} / |A|^2, \text{ where } u \neq v \neq w.
$$

Next, we consider the subgraph of an edge with an isolated vertex  $(p_1+I_1)$ . *Theorem 7*: For three distinct edges  $e_i=(u, v)$ ,  $e_j=(u, w)$ , and  $e_k=(v, w)$  in a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$ , we have

$$
P(E_i E_j' E_k') = \frac{\pi r^2}{|A|} (1 - \frac{\pi r^2}{|A|} - \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4|A|} r^2), \text{ where } u \neq v \neq w.
$$

We have shown that the occurrences of two distinct edges in a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$  are independent (Theorem 2). The next theorem, however, shows that edge independence does not exist for subgraphs with three or more edges.

*Theorem 8*: The occurrences of arbitrary three distinct edges in a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$  are dependent.

The next base subgraph we considered is an induced path  $p_2$ , which will be used to model a hidden-terminal pair.

*Theorem 9*: For arbitrary three distinct edges  $e_i=(u, v)$ ,  $e_i=(u, w)$ , and  $e_k=(v, w)$  in a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$ ,

we have 
$$
Pr(E_iE_jE_k') = \left(\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}\right) \pi r^4 / |A|^2
$$
, where  $u \neq v \neq w$ .

The last base subgraph we considered is *I*3.

*Theorem 10*: For arbitrary three distinct edges  $e_i=(u, v)$ ,  $e_i=(u, w)$ , and  $e_k=(v, w)$  in a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$ ,

we have 
$$
Pr(E_i'E_j'E_k')=1-\frac{\pi r^4}{|A|}-\frac{\frac{3\sqrt{3}}{4}}{|A|^2}\pi r^4
$$
, where  $u \neq v \neq w$ .

 $\overline{3}$ 

### 5. Computing subgraph probability in the face of border effects

In the section, we restrict the deployed area *A* to an  $l \times m$  rectangle. We make an attempt to face border effects and obtain a closed-form formula of computing the single edge probability of RGGs. The results derived in the section will be used to measure the extent of coverage and connectivity of ad hoc networks later.

For clarity, the main result and its corollaries are listed before their proofs.

*Theorem 11*: Given a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$  and an  $l \times m$  rectangle A, the single edge probability considering border effects is  $\frac{2^{r}}{r^2}$   $\frac{3^{r}}{r^2}$   $\frac{3^{r}}{r^2}$  $3 \sqrt{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}r^4 - \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{4}{3}$  $m^2l$  $\frac{r^4 - \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{4}{3}mr^3 + \pi r^2ml}{r^2}$ .

*Corollary 12:* The average (expected) degree of a vertex in a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$  considering border effects is  $(n-1)\times(\frac{2^{r}+3^{r}}{r^2})^{\frac{3}{2}n}$  $3 \sqrt{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}r^4 - \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{4}{3}$  $m^2l$  $\frac{r^4 - \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{4}{3}mr^3 + \pi r^2ml}{2r^2}$ , where *A* is an *l*×*m* rectangle.

*Corollary 13*: The expected edge number of a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$  considering border effects is  $\left(\frac{n(n-1)}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}r^4 - \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{4}{3}n}{m^2l^2}\right)$  $3 \sqrt{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}r^4 - \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{4}{3}$  $m^2l$  $\frac{r^4 - \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{4}{3}mr^3 + \pi r^2ml}{2r^2}$ , where *A* is an *l*×*m* rectangle.

To obtain these results, we first derive some necessary lemmas. Let <sup>Χ</sup>*n*={*x*1, *x*2, …, *xn*} be a set of independently and uniformly distributed random points in a given  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$ , where  $x_i=(X_i, Y_i)$  and  $0\leq X_i\leq l$  and  $0\leq Y_i\leq m$ , for  $1\leq i\leq n$ . Clearly,  $X_i$ 's (and  $Y_i$ 's) are independent, identically distributed random variables with probability density function (p.d.f.)  $f(x)=1/l$  $(g(y)=1/m)$  over the range [0, *l*] ([0, *m*]).

*Lemma 14*: Given a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$  and any two distinct nodes  $x_i = (X_i, Y_i)$  and  $x_i = (X_i, Y_i)$ , we have

 $Pr[ |X_i - X_j| \leq z] = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$  $^{2}+2$ *l*  $\frac{-z^2 + 2lz}{l^2}$  and Pr[ $|Y_i - Y_j| \leq w = \frac{-w^2 + 2w^2}{l^2}$  $^{2}$  + 2 *m*  $-\frac{w^2 + 2mw}{2}$  where  $0 \le z \le l$  and  $0 \le w \le m$ .

Lemma 16: Given a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$  and any two distinct nodes  $x_i = (X_i, Y_i)$  and  $x_i = (X_i, Y_i)$ , we have

that: (1) the p.d.f. of  $(X_i-X_j)^2$  is  $f(u) = \frac{lu^{-2}}{l^2}$ 1 1 *l*  $\frac{lu^{-\frac{1}{2}}-1}{i^2}$  where  $0 \le u \le l^2$ , and (2) the p.d.f. of  $(Y_i-Y_j)^2$  is

$$
g(v) = \frac{mv^{-\frac{1}{2}} - 1}{m^2}
$$
, where  $0 \le v \le m^2$ .

*Lemma 15* [43]:  $\int u^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{a^2 - u} du$ 1  $= u^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{a^2 - u} + a^2 \sin^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{u}}{a}$ 1  $-u + a^2 \sin^{-1} \frac{\gamma u}{\gamma} + c$ , where *c* is a constant.

Finally, we prove the main theorem of the section as follows.

*Theorem 12*: Given a  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n, r, A)$  and an  $l \times m$  rectangle A, the single edge probability is  $\frac{2^{r} \cdot 3^{r}}{r^2 l^2}$  $3 \sqrt{2}$  $\frac{1}{2}r^4 - \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{4}{3}$  $m^2l$  $r^4 - \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{4}{3}mr^3 + \pi r^2ml$ , when border effects are considered.

We conclude that border effect does affect the value of the single edge probability of  $\mathcal{Y}(X_n)$ , *r*, *A*). If *A* is an *l*×*m* rectangle, the difference between the single edge probabilities with and without avoiding border effects (by adopting torus convention) is  $\frac{3}{2} \frac{m}{\sqrt{2}}$ 4  $\frac{4}{3}mr^3 + \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{1}{2}$  $m^2l$  $\frac{mr^3 + \frac{4}{3}lr^3 - \frac{1}{2}r^4}{r^2}$ .

### 6. Conclusions

We have proposed a paradigm for computing the subgraph probabilities of RGGs, and have shown its applications in finding fundamental properties of wireless networks. We are surprised at finding some interesting properties:

- 1. The occurrences of two distinct edges in RGG are independent.
- 2. The occurrences of three or more distinct edges in RGG are dependent.
- 3. Probabilities of some specific subgraphs in RGG can be estimated accurately.

Many interesting subgraph probabilities and their applications in MANETs are still uncovered. For example, we are now interested in accurately estimating the diameter of RGGs. We also believe that the techniques developed in the report can be exploited to conduct quantitative analysis on other fundamental properties of wireless ad hoc networks.

### References:

- 1. Christian Bettstetter, "On the minimum node degree and connectivity of a wireless multi-hop network," *MobiHoc*, 2002, pp. 80-91.
- 2. V. Bharghavan, A. Demers, S. Shenker, and L. Zhang, "MACAW: a media access protocol for wireless LANs," *ACM SIGCOMM*, 1994, pp. 212-215.
- 3. B. Bollobas, *Random Graphs*, London: Academic Press, 1985.
- 4. J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, Macmillan Press, 1976.
- 5. Josh Broth, David A. Maltz, David B. Johnson, Yih-Chun Hu, and Jorjeta Jetcheva, "A performance comparison of multi-hop wireless ad hoc network routing protocols," *Mobicom*, 1998, pp. 85-97.
- 6. C.-L. Chang and R. C. T. Lee, *Symbolic Logic and Mechanical Theorem Proving*, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- 7. B. N. Clark, C. J. Colbourn, and D. S. Johnson, "Unit disk graphs," *Discrete Mathematics*,

vol. 86, pp. 165-177, 1990.

- 8. Bevan Das and Vaduvur Bharghavan, "Routing in ad-hoc networks using minimum connected dominating sets," *IEEE International Conference on Communications*, 1997, pp. 376-380.
- 9. J. Díaz, M. D. Penrose, J. Petit, and M. Serna, "Convergence theorems for some layout measures on random lattice and random geometric graphs," *Combinatorics, Probability, and Computin*g, No. 6, pp. 489-511, 2000.
- 10. J. Díaz, M. D. Penrose, J. Petit, and M. Serna," Approximating layout problems on random geometric graphs," *Journal of Algorithms*, vol. 39, pp. 78-116, 2001.
- 11. J. Díaz, J. Petit, and M. Serna, "Random geometric problems on  $[0, 1]^2$ , "Lecture Notes in *Computer Science*, vol. 1518, Springer-Verlag, New York/ Berlin, 1998.
- 12. O. Dousse, P. Thiran, and M. Hasler, "Connectivity in ad-hoc and hybrid networks," *Infocom*, 2002.
- 13. P. Erdös and A. Rénye, "On Random Graphs I," *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, vol. 6, pp. 290-297, 1959.
- 14. C. Fullmer and J. Garcia-Luna-Aceves, "Solutions to hidden terminal problems in wireless networks," *ACM SIGCOMM*, 1997, pp. 39-49.
- 15. E.N. Gilbert, "Random Graphs," *Ann. Math. Stat.*, vol. 30, pp. 1141-1144, 1959.
- 16. M. C. Golumbic, *Algorithmic Graph Theory and Perfect Graph*s, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- 17. A. Gräf, M. Stumpt, and G. Weiβenfels," On coloring unit disk graphs," *Algorithmica*, vol. 20, pp. 277-293, 1998.
- 18. P. Gupta and P. R. Kumar, "Critical power for asymptotic connectivity in wireless networks," *Stochastic Analysis, Control, Optimization and Applications*, pp. 547-566, 1998.
- 19. P. Gupta and P. R. Kumar, "The capacity of wireless networks," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 388-404, 2000.
- 20. Peter Hall, *Introduction to the Theory of Coverage Process*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1988.
- 21. Paul G. Hoel, Sidney C. Port, and Charles J. Stone, *Introduction to Probability Theory*, Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston, Mass., 1971.
- 22. T. Hou and V. Li, "Transmission range control in multihop packet radio networks, "*IEEE Transaction on Communications*, vol. 34, pp. 38-44, 1986.
- 23. C.-F. Hsin and M. Liu, "Network coverage using low duty-cycled sensors: Random and coordinated sleep algorithm," *International Symposium on Information Processing in Sensor Networks*, 2004.
- 24. S. Khurana, A. Kahol, S. K. S. Gupta, and P. K. Srimani, "Performance evaluation of distributed co-ordination function for IEEE 802.11 wireless LAN protocol in presence of mobile and hidden terminals," *International Symposium on Modeling, Analysis and Simulation of Computer and Telecommunication Systems*, 1999, pp. 40-47.
- 25. S. Khurana, A. Kahol, and A. Jayasumana, "Effect of hidden terminals on the performance of the IEEE 802.11 MAC protocol," Proceedings of Local Computer Networks Conference, 1998.
- 26. L. Kleinrock and J. Silvester, "Optimum transmission radii for packet radio networks or why six is a magic number," *Proc. IEEE National Telecom. Conf.*, 1978, pp. 4.3.1-4.3.5.
- 27. S.-J. Lee and M. Gerla, "AODV-BR: Backup routing in Ad hoc Networks," *IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference*, 2000, vol. 3, pp. 1311-1316.
- 28. Edgar M. Palmer, *Graphical Evolution: An Introduction to the Theory of Random Graphs*, New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1985.
- 29. Mathew D. Penrose, *Random Geometric Graphs*, Oxford University Press, 2003.
- 30. M. D. Penrose, "A strong low for the longest edge of the minimal spanning tree," *The Annals of Probability*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 246-260, 1999.
- 31. M. D. Penrose, "The longest edge of the random minimal spanning tree," *The Annals of Applied Probability*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 340-361, 1997.
- 32. M. D. Penrose, "On *k*-connectivity for a geometric random graph," *Random structures and*

*Algorithms*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 145-164, 1999.

- 33. T. K. Philips, S. S. Panwar, and A. N. Tantawi, "Connectivity properties of a packet radio network model," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, pp. 1044-1047, 1989.
- 34. P. Piret, "On the connectivity of radio networks," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, pp. 1490-1492, 1991.
- 35. G. J. Pottie and W. J. Kaiser, "Wireless integrated network sensors," *Commun. ACM*, vol. 43, no. 5, pp. 51–58, May 2000.
- 36. V. Ravelomanana, "Extremal Properties of three-dimensional sensor networks with applications," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 246-257, 2004.
- 37. F. S. Roberts, "Indifference graphs," in *Proof Techniques in Graph Theory*, F. Harary (editor), Academic Press, New York, pp. 139-146, 1969.
- 38. E.M. Royer and C-K Toh, "A Review of Current Routing Protocols for Ad Hoc Mobile Wireless Networks," *IEEE Personal Communication*, pp. 46-55, 1999.
- 39. Paolo Santi and Douglas M. Blough, "The critical transmitting range for connectivity in sparse wireless ad hoc networks," *IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 25-39, 2003.
- 40. Paolo Santi and Doulas M. Blough,"A probabilistic analysis for the radio range assignment problem in ad hoc networks," *MobiHoc*, 2001, pp. 212-220.
- 41. D. Shukla, L. Chandran-Wadia, and S. Iyer, "Mitigating the exposed node problem in IEEE 802.11 ad hoc networks," *International Conference on Computer Communications and Networks*, 2003, pp. 157-162.
- 42. K. Sohrabi, J. Gao, V. Ailawadhi, and G. J. Pottie, "Protocols for self-organization of a wireless sensor network, " *IEEE Personal Commun.*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 16–27, Oct. 2000.
- 43. J. Stewart, *Calculus*,  $4<sup>th</sup>$  ed., Gary W. Ostedt, 1999.
- 44. H. Takagi and L. Kleinrock, "Optimal transmission ranges for randomly distributed packet radio terminals," *IEEE Transaction on Communications*, vol. 32, pp. 246-257, 1984.
- 45. F. Tobagi and L. Kleinrock, "Packet switching in radio channels, Part II-The hidden terminal problem in carrier sense multiple access and the busy tone solution," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. COM-23, no. 12, pp. 1417-1433, 1975.
- 46. J. Wu and H. Li, "Domination and its application in ad hoc wireless networks with unidirectional links," *International Conference on Parallel Processing*, 2000, pp. 189 – 197.
- 47. F. Xue and P. R. Kumar, "The number of neighbors needed for connectivity of wireless networks," *Wireless Networks*, vol. 10, pp. 169-181, 2004.
- 48. K. J. Yang, *On the Number of Subgraphs of a Random Graph in [0, 1]<sup>d</sup>* , Unpublished D.Phil. thesis, Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Iowa, 1995.
- 49. L.-H. Yen and C. W. Yu, "Link probability, network coverage, and related properties of wireless ad hoc networks," *The 1st IEEE International Conference on Mobile Ad-hoc and Sensor Systems*, 2004, pp. 525-527.
- 50. C. W. Yu, L.-H. Yen, and Yang-Min Cheng, "Computing subgraph probability of random geometric graphs with applications in wireless ad hoc networks," Tech. Rep., CHU-CSIE-TR-2004-005, Chung Hua University, R.O.C.
- 51. Chang Wu Yu, Li-Hsing Yen, Kun-Ming Yu, and Zhi Pin Lee, "An Ad Hoc Routing Protocol Providing Short Backup Routes," *Eighth IEEE Internation Conference on Communication Systems*, 2002, Singapore, pp.1052-1056.
- 52. Kun-Ming V. Yu, Shi-Feng Yand, and Chang Wu Yu, "An Ad Hoc Routing Protocol with Multiple Backup Routes," *Proceedings of the IASTED International Conference Networks, Parallel and Distributed Processing, and Applications*, 2002, pp. 75-80.
- 53. The Bluetooth Interest group," [http://www.bluetooth.com.](http://www.bluetooth.com/)"

### 行政院國家科學委員會補助國內專家學者出席國際學術會議報告

2007 年 4 月 30 日

附件三 ールー アイ・ファイル



報告內容應包括下列各項:

一、參加會議經過

2007 年國際組合演算法機率與實驗方法會議於 4 月 7 日到 4 月 9 日在 China, Hangzhou 舉行。4 月 7 日我前往 Hangzhou 浙江大學將行裏放置於 Lingfeng Hotel 並前 往玉泉校區的數學系館。本次會議我有兩篇論文發表, 第一篇於 4 月 7 日下午 15:40-17:00。與 session chair Prof. Dr. Winfried Hochstattler (chair of discrete mathematics and optimization, FernUniversitat in Hagen) 寒暄後, 逕行發表論文。

4 月 8 日晨我前往 Dept. of Information and Electronics Engineering 將一份同事 之禮物送給陳抗生教授。今日雖為星期天但陳抗生教授也到校從事研究,與陳教授 促膝而談, 我深深感受到他治學的胸襟及精神。這也是我此趟參加 ESCAPE 最大 的收穫。中午值 Theoretical Computer Science 的 Mike Paterson 及張真誠(IEEE fellow) 及詹昭文教授並與之共進午餐。向張教授請益相當多。也與 Xidian University 之 Huaxi Gu 有相當多的互動。下午大會安排遊西湖, 與 Prof. 楊波艇 (Boting Yang Department of computer science, University of Regina, Canada)有相當大的互動, 他原為數學系目前研究 treewidth。晚宴於山外山並頒發 the best paper award。

4 月 9 日晨我的第二篇論文發表,與優秀的浙江大學學生有良好的互動.中午向 chair Prof. Guochuan Zhang 告別後直接到 Xiao-Shan 機場經澳門飛回台灣。

二、與會心得

中國近年對舉辦國際 CONFERENCE 相當積極。台灣學術界要加油了。

三、考察參觀活動(無是項活動者省略) 4/8 與會學者相邀前往西湖著名風景。

四、建議

台灣學術界應多參與國際中重要會議,甚至以大學為單位,有利於展現學術力量。

五、攜回資料名稱及內容

六、其他